

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,175	01/18/2001	Mark Buonanno	CSCO-38240	9529
75	90 12/31/2003		EXAM	INER
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			BORISSOV, IGOR N	
Third Floor				
Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA 95113			3629	
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	09/766,175	BUONANNO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Igor Borissov	3629
The MAILING DATE of this communication app P riod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) owill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 /	November 2003 .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	
·	ammer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	a priority under 25 LLC C & 110)(a) (d) ar (f)
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	i priority under 35 0.5.C. § 118	(a)-(d) or (i).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents		ation No.
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	<u>-</u>
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 	• •	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Dotont and Trademork Office		

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-9, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodwin et al. (US 2002/0059131).

Goodwin et al. teach a system and method for trading and originating financial products using a computer network, comprising:

As per claims 7, 20 and 21,

- displaying a plurality of conditions corresponding to the product to the customer, wherein the conditions are customer selectable ([0054]; [0055]; [0060]; [0068]; [0071]);
 - receiving a set of conditions selected by the customer ([0071]; [0072]);
 - storing the set of conditions in a memory ([0071]; [0072]; [0094]);
- monitoring the memory to determine whether the conditions can be met, wherein when the conditions are met, the customer may be contacted and offered the product for sale ([0071]; [0072]; [0094]; [0132]);
- provided the conditions are met, proactively establishing a telephonic interaction with the customer ([0147]; [0140]; [0085].

Art Unit: 3629

Goodwin et al. do not specifically teach that said telephonic interaction or connection with the customer includes interaction between a human agent and the customer.

However, these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited. The "displaying a plurality of conditions" through "establishing a telephonic interaction with the customer" steps would be performed the same regardless if a human agent involved or not. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see *In re Gulack*, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

As per claim 8, Goodwin et al. teach said system and method wherein the order is placed on-line ([0094]; [0095]).

As per claim 9, Goodwin et al. teach said system and method wherein the order is placed via a B2B exchange or B2B enterprise resource planning ([0099]; [0100]).

Claims 1-6, 10-19 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodwin et al. in view Cerami et al. (US 2002/0087680).

Goodwin et al. teach said system and method for trading and originating financial products using a computer network, comprising:

As per claims 1, 5, 10, 14, 16 and 22,

- receiving an order placed by a customer ([0054]; [0055]; [0060]; [0068]; [0071]; [0072]; [0094] through [0095]);

- initiating a workflow process to handle the order ([0054]; [0055]; [0060]; [0068]; [0071]; [0072]; [0094] through [0095]);

- proactively establishing a telephonic connection with the customer ([0147]).

Goodwin et al. do not teach notifying a call center agent if a problem occurs during the processing of the order, which enables the call center agent to proactively contact the customer.

Cerami et al. teach a system and method for proactive service request management and measurement, comprising:

- monitoring the workflow process to detect any problems ([0042] through [0048]; [0102]);
- notifying a call center agent if a problem occurs during the processing of the order, which enables the call center agent to proactively contact the customer ([0042] through [0048]; [0102]).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Goodwin et al. to include notifying a call center agent if a problem occurs during the processing of the order which enables the call center agent to proactively contact the customer, because it would allow to decrease cost associated with a repear process, and increase customer service level, as specifically taught by Cerame et al. ([005]; [0006]), thereby make it more attractive to the customers.

As per claims 2, 11, 17 and 23, Cerami et al. teach said system and method, further comprising the step of automatically fixing the problem and informing the

Application/Control Number: 09/766,175

Art Unit: 3629

customer of the problem and the solution before being contacted by the customer ([0007]; [0042] through [0048]; [0088]; [0098]; [0100]; [0114]).

As per claims 3, 12, 18 and 24, Cerami et al. teach said system and method, further comprising the step researching the problem, explaining the problem to the customer, and proposing a solution to the customer before being contacted by the customer ([0007]; [0042] through [0048]; [0114]; [0119]).

As per claims 4, 13, 19, 25, Cerami et al. teach said system and method, further comprising the step of establishing a collaboration session between representatives of the customer and the seller to resolve the problem ([0046]; [0056]).

As per claims 6 and 15, Goodwin et al. teach said system and method wherein the order is placed via a B2B exchange or B2B enterprise resource planning ([0099] through [0100]).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure (see form PTO-892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Igor Borissov at telephone number (703) 305-4649.

Art Unit: 3629

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9306.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached at (703) 308- 2702.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 [Official communications; including After Final

communications labeled "Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

IB

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Mica